UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

.HENRYK MALAK 8444 HIGH RIDGE ROAD **ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043** 

COPY MAILED SEP 2 9 2008

In re Application of

Henryk MALAK

Application No. 10/689,965

Filed: October 22, 2003

Attorney Docket No.

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed April 21, 2008, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to timely file a reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final Office action of May 15, 2007. The proposed reply required for consideration of a petition to revive must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2)), an amendment that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and submission (37 CFR 1.114), or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b). See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(A)(2). Since the amendment submitted does not *prima facie* place the application in condition for allowance (see attached Advisory Action), the reply required must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee), RCE, or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b).

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is **not** a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By Mail:

Mail Stop PETITION -Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 By hand:

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office

Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petitions

Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

The centralized facsimile number is (571) 273-8300.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-6735.

Thurman Page

Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions

Attachment: Advisory Action

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

·		
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/689,965	MALAK, HENRYK	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MONZER R. CHORBAJI	1797	

	OIPE	\
K	OCT 2 0 2008	ל אנ
	TADENANT	3/

	MONZER R. CHORBAJI	1797	TADENAR			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 06 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	• •	(36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL		E1 1 111 1 1				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ccause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7, 9-10, 16-17, and 19-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claim 1 includes newly added features that require further search and additional evaluation.